

United States Patent and Trademark Office

X

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,030	08/31/2001	Richmond Muimo	002.00190	6001
35876 7	590 02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
ROGALSKY & WEYAND, LLP			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
P.O. BOX 44 LIVONIA, NY 14487			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/944,030	MUIMO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patrick J. Nolan	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for te, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 September 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5 and 8-58 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureace the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicority documents have been rece It is a second to the contract of the	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	` '	_				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

Art Unit: 1644

1. Claims 1-58 are pending.

2. A supplemental Non-Final office action is being set forth since because of an oversight on the part of Examiner, claim 6 was not included in the elected group to be Examined. As such

a new office action, Non-Final has been set forth below.

3. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-3 and 6-7 in the reply filed on

9-28-04 is acknowledged.

4. Claims 4-5 and 8-58 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9-28-04.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this

or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) or (b) as being anticipated by

Riemen et al. (21 on the IDS submitted 8-16-02).

Riemen et al., teaches measuring NDPK activity by measuring NTP production in

tracheal epithelial cells from mice with and without the CFTR gene mutation, a mutation that

causes cystic fibrosis. It is noted that the trachea is part of the lungs, thereby meeting the

limitation of claim 6, lung cell sample. It is also noted the base claims have no correlation step,

thereby opening the claims to read upon the prior art reference of Riemen et al.

It is noted the rejection is made under 35 USC 102(a) or (b) because as far as the

Examiner can ascertain, the abstract was presented at a meeting in April of 1998, but it is not

known when the abstract book was made publicly available to the meeting attendees. As it is common for the abstract book to be mailed ahead of the meeting date, and applicant is an author on the reference being cited, it is incumbent upon applicant to ascertain the public availability of the abstract book, so the appropriate US statute can be applied.

The claimed invention is anticipated by the prior art teachings.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In base claims 1 and 7, there is no correlation step linking the determination or classification step with NDPK activity or function, as such the claim is indefinite.

- 8. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is 571-272-0847.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571-272-0841.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,030

Art Unit: 1644

Par In. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

February 18, 2005

Page 4